

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

**Remarks**

Reconsideration and allowance of the above referenced application are respectfully requested.

Claims 13-15, 17, 18, 27, and 28 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. This has been obviated by the amendment of the claims herein. Specifically, the claims are specifically amended to specifically cover the subject matter in paragraphs 29-35 of the specification. The exact words of that portion of the specification have been used. The informalities have been corrected, and the antecedent basis issues in the claims have been obviated herein by amendment.

Claims 13-15, 18 and 27 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Beach (US 6,901,270).

This contention has been obviated by the amendment of the claims herein. Specifically, Beach says nothing about the now claimed feature of storing plural different contact information for plural different contacts, and issuing a voice command to select one of those contact information. See for example Beach's lines 50-59 which explain that the operation turns on the computer, and receives a name like John Cook. In response, the computer then calls John Cook. There is no

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

description of selecting between different contacts or contact information for that John Cook. Hence, there is no description of selecting among “plural different contact information for plural different contacts”, by recognizing voice information and comparing the recognized voice information against the plural different contacts, as claimed. Claim 13 should hence be allowable for these reasons, since the prior art does not show this subject matter.

Each of dependent claims should be allowable for reasons discussed above, as well as on its own merits.

In specific, the newly added claims 27 and 28 define communicating with an automated attendant (claim 27); and that communicating with an attendant and automatically entering an extension (claim 28). Neither of these are shown by the cited prior art and should be additionally allowable.

If the Examiner believes that communications such as a telephone interview or email would facilitate disposal of this case, the undersigned respectfully encourages the Examiner to contact the undersigned.

Recognizing that Internet communications are not secure, I hereby authorize the USPTO to communicate with me concerning any subject matter of this application by electronic mail (using the email address scott@harrises.com). I understand that a copy of these communications will be made of record in the

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

application file.

Please charge any fees due in connection with this response, (excluding those concurrently paid via EFS), to Deposit Account No. 50-1387.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8/5/2011

/Scott C Harris/  
Scott C. Harris  
Reg. No. 32,030

Customer No. 23844  
Scott C. Harris, Esq.  
Law Office of Scott C. Harris, Inc.

~~SCHIPLAW~~  
P.O. Box 1389  
Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

P 858 756 7778  
F 858 756 7717  
M 619 823 7778

E [harris@schiplaw.com](mailto:harris@schiplaw.com)  
[www.schiplaw.com](http://www.schiplaw.com)

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

## Claims Appendix

13. A system, comprising:

a first electronically operated device, including a telephone function that controls receiving a telephone call,

said first electronically operated device sensing a first control, and responsive to sensing said first control, creating a synthesized voice that requests information;

said first electronically operated device receiving a voice to be recognized responsive to said creating said synthesized voice;

said first electronically operated device including a connection to a network that extends between said first electronically operated device and a second device,

wherein said first electronically operated device operates to obtain recognized voice information from said voice to be recognized,

said first electronically operated device storing plural different contact information for plural different contacts;

wherein said first electronically operated device compares said recognized voice information against said stored contact information, and recognizes said recognized voice information only as being one of said

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

stored contact information, and controls automatically placing a call based on the stored contact information obtained from the recognized voice information.

14. A system as in claim 13, wherein said first electronically operated device is included within a portable telephone.

15. A system as in claim 13, wherein said first electronically operated device is included within a personal digital assistant.

17. A system as in claim 13, wherein text indicative of said recognized voice information is displayed on said first electronically operated device and includes text indicative of said one stored contact based on said voice to be recognized.

18. A system as in claim 13, wherein said network connection is a Bluetooth connection.

**Appl. No.** : **09/683,600**  
**Filed** : **January 24, 2002**

27. A system as in claim 13, further comprising computer, remote from said electronically operated device, said second computer connected to said network, and including automatic voice recognition capability.

28. A system as in claim 13, wherein said first electronically operated device sends said voice to be recognized over said netowkr, and receive a document that incoludes text that respesnets a result of recognizing said voice to be recognized in real time of a type which has only delays baed on communication time and computing time, and where said text ins aid document represents only said voice having been recognized and does not include results of recognizing any other voice.